American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX • Website: www.ajamri.com • Email: editor@ajamri.com

The Environmental Footprint of Artificial Intelligence: Balancing Technological Progress with Energy Efficiency and Sustainability

Deblina Sarkar

Associate Professor Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative technology with applications across healthcare, finance, education, manufacturing, transportation, scientific research, and public administration. The rapid expansion of AI, particularly computationally intensive machine-learning and generative AI systems, has also generated growing concerns regarding energy consumption, greenhouse-gas emissions, water use, electronic waste, and the broader environmental footprint of digital infrastructure. This paper examines the environmental implications of AI and explores strategies for balancing technological progress with energy efficiency and sustainability. A qualitative and conceptual research methodology is adopted through an analysis of literature concerning AI, data centres, energy consumption, sustainable computing, machine learning, renewable energy, and environmental management. The study identifies model training, inference, data-centre operations, cooling systems, semiconductor manufacturing, and hardware disposal as important components of AI's environmental footprint. The analysis further demonstrates that AI presents a dual challenge: while AI systems can increase energy demand and resource consumption, they can simultaneously support environmental sustainability through energy optimisation, climate modelling, smart grids, precision agriculture, intelligent transportation, and resource management. The paper proposes a Sustainable AI Framework based on energy-efficient algorithms, hardware optimisation, renewable electricity, efficient cooling, carbon-aware computing, responsible model deployment, lifecycle management, and transparent environmental reporting. The study concludes that sustainable AI requires a lifecycle perspective in which technological performance is evaluated alongside energy efficiency, carbon emissions, water consumption, resource use, and social responsibility.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Environmental Sustainability, Sustainable AI, Energy Efficiency, Data Centres, Green Computing, Carbon Emissions, Renewable Energy, Electronic Waste, Green AI.

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence has become one of the most influential technologies of the digital era.
AI systems are increasingly used for:

- Medical diagnosis.
- Financial forecasting.
- Education.
- Industrial automation.
- Autonomous transportation.
- Scientific discovery.
- Climate modelling.
- Customer service.
- Content generation.
- Cybersecurity.

The expansion of AI has been supported by increasingly powerful computing infrastructure.
Modern AI models can require substantial computational resources during:

1. Data preparation.
2. Model training.
3. Fine-tuning.
4. Inference.
5. Storage.
6. Communication.

Consequently, AI should not be viewed only as a software technology.
It is part of a wider physical ecosystem involving:
Algorithms → Chips → Servers → Data Centres → Electricity → Cooling → Networks → Hardware Lifecycle
This creates an important sustainability question:
How can societies obtain the benefits of AI without allowing its environmental costs to grow disproportionately?
The issue is particularly relevant as organisations increasingly deploy large-scale AI systems and generative AI applications.

2. The Environmental Footprint of AI

The environmental footprint of AI extends beyond electricity consumed by a single model.
It includes the entire technological lifecycle.

Major components include:

- Semiconductor production.
- Data-centre construction.
- Computing equipment.
- Electricity consumption.
- Cooling.
- Water use.
- Network infrastructure.
- Hardware replacement.
- Electronic waste.

A lifecycle approach is therefore necessary to understand the true environmental impact of AI.

3. Energy Consumption

Energy consumption is one of the most frequently discussed environmental impacts of AI.

AI workloads can require substantial computational resources because they involve:

- Large datasets.
- Complex mathematical operations.
- High-performance processors.
- Repeated model training.
- Continuous inference.

The energy requirements vary significantly depending on:

- Model size.
- Hardware efficiency.
- Training duration.
- Data-centre efficiency.
- Cooling requirements.
- Electricity source.
- Utilisation rate.

Therefore, there is no single energy figure applicable to all AI systems.

4. AI Training and Inference

AI's computational footprint can broadly be divided into two phases.

Training

Training involves repeatedly processing large datasets to optimise model parameters.

Large-scale training can require significant computational resources.

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

Inference

Inference occurs whenever a trained model generates a prediction or response.

While individual inference operations may consume less energy than initial training, very large user populations can result in substantial cumulative demand.

Thus:

Training Footprint + Cumulative Inference Footprint = Operational AI Footprint

5. Data Centres

AI depends heavily on data-centre infrastructure.

Data centres contain:

- Servers.
- GPUs and other accelerators.
- Storage systems.
- Networking equipment.
- Cooling systems.
- Power-management infrastructure.

As AI workloads increase, data centres may require additional computing capacity.

This creates environmental pressures related to:

- Electricity.
- Water.
- Land.
- Materials.
- Construction.
- Waste.

6. Cooling Requirements

Computing equipment generates heat.

Data centres therefore require cooling systems to maintain safe operating temperatures.

Cooling can consume both:

- Electricity.
- Water.

The environmental impact varies according to the cooling technology and local climate.

Potential approaches to improve efficiency include:

- Advanced air cooling.
- Liquid cooling.
- Free cooling where conditions permit.
- Heat reuse.
- Optimised thermal management.

7. Carbon Emissions

The carbon footprint of AI depends heavily on the electricity used by computing infrastructure.

An AI workload powered predominantly by low-carbon electricity can have a substantially different emissions profile from an equivalent workload powered by a carbon-intensive electricity mix.

Therefore, sustainability depends not only on:

How much energy AI consumes

but also on:

Where and when that energy comes from.

8. Renewable Energy

Renewable energy can reduce the operational carbon intensity of AI infrastructure.

Potential sources include:

- Solar.
- Wind.
- Hydroelectricity.
- Geothermal energy.

Data-centre operators can also explore:

- Power purchase agreements.
- On-site renewable generation.
- Battery storage.
- Carbon-aware workload scheduling.

Sunlight70%

Renewable electricity alone, however, does not eliminate AI's broader environmental footprint because hardware production, water use, construction, and electronic waste must also be considered.

9. Water Consumption

Water can be required for:

- Cooling data centres.
- Electricity generation.
- Semiconductor manufacturing.

The importance of water consumption is highly location-dependent.

A data centre operating in a water-stressed region may create greater local environmental concerns than one operating in an area with abundant water resources.

Therefore, sustainable AI strategies should consider both:

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

Carbon Efficiency
and
Water Efficiency

10. Semiconductor Manufacturing
AI hardware depends on advanced semiconductor technologies.
Manufacturing semiconductors requires:

- Energy.
- Water.
- Chemicals.
- Raw materials.
- Highly controlled production environments.

Consequently, the environmental footprint of AI begins before a processor enters a data centre.
This demonstrates why analysing only operational electricity consumption provides an incomplete picture.

11. Electronic Waste
Rapid hardware development can shorten the useful life of computing equipment.
Older:

- GPUs.
- CPUs.
- Servers.
- Storage devices.
- Networking equipment

may eventually become electronic waste.
Responsible approaches include:

- Equipment refurbishment.
- Component reuse.
- Recycling.
- Longer hardware lifecycles.
- Modular design.

12. The AI Environmental Lifecycle
A complete AI lifecycle can be represented as:

Raw Materials
↓
Semiconductor Manufacturing
↓

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

Computing Hardware
↓
Data-Centre Deployment
↓
Model Training
↓
Model Inference
↓
Hardware Maintenance
↓
Reuse / Recycling
↓
End-of-Life Management

Each stage has environmental implications.

13. Green AI

The concept of Green AI promotes the development of AI systems that consider computational and environmental costs alongside predictive performance.

Traditional AI research may focus primarily on:

- Accuracy.
- Model size.
- Benchmark performance.

Green AI adds:

- Energy consumption.
- Computational efficiency.
- Carbon footprint.
- Hardware requirements.

A more comprehensive evaluation is therefore:

Performance + Efficiency + Environmental Cost

14. Energy-Efficient Algorithms

Algorithmic efficiency can reduce computational requirements.

Strategies include:

- Model pruning.
- Quantisation.
- Knowledge distillation.
- Parameter-efficient fine-tuning.
- Efficient architectures.

- Smaller specialised models.

The objective is not always to use the largest available model.
Instead, organisations should select a model appropriate to the task.

15. Model Compression

Model compression reduces the computational requirements of AI models.

Pruning

Removes unnecessary parameters.

Quantisation

Uses lower-precision numerical representations.

Knowledge Distillation

Transfers knowledge from a larger model to a smaller model.
These approaches can reduce:

- Memory requirements.
- Processing requirements.
- Energy consumption.

16. Hardware Efficiency

AI sustainability also depends on hardware.
Modern accelerators can perform large numbers of computations efficiently.
Important considerations include:

- Performance per watt.
- Memory efficiency.
- Utilisation.
- Hardware lifespan.
- Manufacturing footprint.

Better hardware utilisation can reduce the amount of infrastructure required for a given workload.

17. Data-Centre Efficiency

Data-centre efficiency can be improved through:

- Server utilisation.
- Efficient cooling.
- Power management.
- Workload scheduling.
- Renewable electricity.

- Heat recovery.

An important metric is Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE), which compares total facility energy consumption with the energy delivered to computing equipment.
Lower PUE generally indicates better facility-level energy efficiency.

18. Carbon-Aware Computing

AI workloads do not necessarily need to be processed at exactly the same time or location.
Carbon-aware computing can shift flexible workloads towards:

- Periods of lower grid carbon intensity.
- Regions with cleaner electricity.
- Times of high renewable generation.

This can reduce emissions without necessarily reducing computational output.

19. Sustainable AI Infrastructure

A sustainable AI infrastructure strategy should integrate:

Energy
Low-carbon and efficient electricity.

Computing
Efficient processors and algorithms.

Cooling
Low-energy and low-water cooling.

Data
Efficient storage and processing.

Hardware
Longer lifecycles and responsible disposal.

Governance
Transparent environmental measurement.

20. AI as a Tool for Sustainability

AI creates an important paradox.
AI can have environmental costs while simultaneously helping reduce environmental impacts elsewhere.
Applications include:

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

- Smart electricity grids.
- Renewable-energy forecasting.
- Climate modelling.
- Energy-efficient buildings.
- Precision agriculture.
- Water management.
- Intelligent transportation.
- Industrial optimisation.

Thus, the relevant question is not simply whether AI consumes energy.
It is also:
Can the environmental benefits enabled by AI outweigh the environmental costs associated with deploying it?

21. AI for Smart Energy Systems
AI can forecast:

- Electricity demand.
- Solar generation.
- Wind generation.
- Grid congestion.

This can help energy systems integrate variable renewable generation more efficiently.

22. AI for Climate Modelling
AI can support climate research through:

- Faster simulations.
- Weather prediction.
- Extreme-event forecasting.
- Climate-data analysis.

Efficient AI models may complement conventional scientific models by reducing the computational cost of certain forecasting tasks.

23. AI for Precision Agriculture
AI can analyse:

- Soil conditions.
- Weather.
- Crop health.
- Irrigation requirements.

This can support more efficient use of:

- Water.
- Fertiliser.

- Energy.
- Agricultural land.

24. AI for Water Management

AI can assist with:

- Demand forecasting.
- Leak detection.
- Irrigation optimisation.
- Water-quality monitoring.
- Reservoir management.

This creates opportunities to use AI's computational costs to produce environmental savings elsewhere.

25. AI for Sustainable Transportation

AI can optimise:

- Traffic flows.
- Public transport.
- Vehicle routing.
- Fleet operations.
- EV charging.

Reduced congestion and better routing can potentially reduce energy use and emissions.

26. AI for Industrial Sustainability

Manufacturing organisations can use AI to optimise:

- Energy consumption.
- Production schedules.
- Material utilisation.
- Predictive maintenance.
- Waste reduction.

This can help organisations reduce the environmental intensity of production.

Table 1. Environmental Footprint and Mitigation Strategies

Environmental Dimension	Main AI-Related Pressure	Mitigation Strategy
Electricity	Computing workloads	Efficient hardware and algorithms
Carbon emissions	Fossil-intensive electricity	Renewable and carbon-aware computing

Environmental Dimension	Main AI-Related Pressure	Mitigation Strategy
Water	Cooling and chip production	Water-efficient cooling
Materials	Semiconductor and hardware production	Responsible sourcing and recycling
E-waste	Hardware replacement	Reuse and longer lifecycles
Data storage	Large datasets	Data minimisation and efficient storage
Cooling	Heat generated by computing	Advanced thermal management
Infrastructure	Data-centre expansion	Efficient facility design

27. Sustainable AI Framework

The proposed framework contains eight interconnected dimensions:

1. Efficient Algorithms

Use the smallest effective model for the intended application.

2. Efficient Hardware

Prioritise high performance per unit of energy.

3. Renewable Electricity

Increase the use of low-carbon electricity.

4. Efficient Cooling

Reduce electricity and water consumption.

5. Carbon-Aware Scheduling

Shift flexible workloads to cleaner periods and locations.

6. Lifecycle Management

Extend hardware lifetimes and improve recycling.

7. Environmental Transparency

Measure and report environmental indicators.

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)
E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

8. Responsible AI Governance
Include sustainability within AI procurement and deployment decisions.

28. Proposed Conceptual Model
The relationship can be represented as:
AI Innovation
↓
Computational Demand
↓
Energy + Water + Materials
↓
Environmental Footprint
At the same time:
AI Innovation
↓
Environmental Applications
↓
Energy Optimisation + Resource Efficiency + Emission Reduction
Therefore, AI has both:
Environmental Costs
and
Environmental Benefits
Sustainable AI seeks to maximise the second while minimising the first.

29. Illustrative Sustainability Indicators

Table 2. AI Sustainability Performance Indicators

Indicator	Traditional AI Deployment	Sustainable AI Target
Energy intensity	100	75
Carbon intensity	100	60
Water intensity	100	75
Computing requirement	100	70
Hardware utilisation	100	120
Hardware lifecycle	100	130
Renewable electricity share	100	150

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

Indicator	Traditional AI Deployment	Sustainable AI Target
Model efficiency	100	135
Environmental reporting	100	160
Reuse/recycling rate	100	140

Note: These values are illustrative index values for conceptual analysis and are not empirical measurements.

30. Challenges in Sustainable AI

30.1 Lack of Standardised Measurement

Organisations may report energy or emissions differently.
A common framework is needed for comparing AI systems.

30.2 Incomplete Lifecycle Assessment

Environmental assessments sometimes focus on operational energy while excluding:

- Hardware manufacturing.
- Transportation.
- Data-centre construction.
- End-of-life impacts.

A lifecycle approach provides a more comprehensive assessment.

30.3 Trade-Off Between Performance and Efficiency

Larger models may provide higher performance for certain tasks but require greater computational resources.
Organisations must determine whether marginal performance improvements justify additional environmental costs.

30.4 Water Stress

A technically efficient data centre can still create local environmental pressure if it operates in a water-stressed area.
Therefore, geographical context matters.

30.5 Rebound Effects

Efficiency improvements can sometimes increase overall demand.
For example, if AI becomes cheaper and more efficient, organisations may deploy it for many additional applications.
Consequently:
Lower energy per AI task $\neq$ necessarily lower total AI energy consumption.

31. Economic Considerations

Sustainable AI can create both costs and opportunities.

Costs

- Efficient hardware investment.
- Renewable energy procurement.
- Cooling upgrades.
- Environmental monitoring.
- Recycling programmes.

Benefits

- Lower energy costs.
- Reduced operational risk.
- Improved resource efficiency.
- Regulatory preparedness.
- Stronger corporate sustainability performance.

32. Policy Implications

Governments can encourage sustainable AI through:

- Environmental reporting requirements.
- Energy-efficiency standards.
- Data-centre sustainability regulations.
- Renewable-energy incentives.
- Electronic-waste regulations.
- Sustainable procurement policies.

Public-sector AI procurement can include environmental criteria alongside:

- Cost.
- Performance.
- Security.
- Privacy.

33. Organisational Recommendations

Organisations adopting AI should:

1. Measure energy consumption.
2. Estimate lifecycle emissions.
3. Evaluate water requirements.
4. Select efficient models.
5. Use efficient hardware.
6. Prefer renewable electricity where feasible.
7. Extend hardware lifecycles.

8. Establish environmental reporting.
9. Include sustainability in AI procurement.
10. Evaluate whether AI is necessary for each use case.

34. Research Methodology

This paper adopts a qualitative, descriptive, and conceptual research methodology.

The study synthesises secondary literature concerning:

- Artificial Intelligence.
- Green AI.
- Data-centre energy consumption.
- Sustainable computing.
- Renewable energy.
- Environmental management.
- AI lifecycle assessment.
- Digital sustainability.

The research develops a conceptual framework for understanding the relationship between AI innovation and environmental sustainability.

35. Research Objectives

The study seeks to:

1. Examine the environmental footprint of AI.
2. Identify major sources of energy and resource consumption.
3. Analyse strategies for reducing AI's environmental impact.
4. Examine AI's potential contribution to environmental sustainability.
5. Develop a sustainable AI framework.
6. Identify policy and organisational recommendations.

36. Research Questions

RQ1

What are the major environmental impacts associated with AI?

RQ2

How can AI systems be made more energy efficient?

RQ3

What role can renewable energy play in sustainable AI infrastructure?

RQ4

How can AI contribute to environmental sustainability beyond its own footprint?

RQ5

What governance mechanisms can promote environmentally responsible AI development?

37. Questionnaire

5-Point Likert Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree

No.	Statement
1	AI development can significantly increase electricity demand.
2	Data-centre energy consumption is an important environmental concern.
3	AI organisations should report the environmental footprint of their systems.
4	Renewable energy should be prioritised for AI infrastructure.
5	Energy efficiency should be considered when selecting AI models.
6	Water consumption should be included in AI sustainability assessments.
7	AI hardware should have longer operational lifecycles.
8	AI can contribute significantly to environmental protection.
9	Governments should establish sustainability standards for AI infrastructure.
10	Environmental performance should be considered alongside AI accuracy and cost.

38. Discussion

The environmental footprint of AI presents a complex policy and technological challenge.
AI cannot simply be classified as either environmentally harmful or environmentally beneficial.
Its impact depends on:

- Scale.
- Model architecture.
- Hardware.
- Electricity source.
- Cooling technology.
- Location.
- Usage patterns.
- Hardware lifecycle.
- Application.

For example, an AI system that consumes energy but significantly improves renewable-energy forecasting may generate environmental benefits beyond its direct footprint.

Conversely, deploying computationally intensive AI for low-value applications may create environmental costs without corresponding social benefits.
This suggests that AI efficiency should be evaluated in relation to the value generated.

39. From Green AI to Sustainable AI

Green AI traditionally focuses on computational efficiency.
Sustainable AI should go further.
It should consider:

Energy
•
Carbon
•
Water
•
Materials
•
E-Waste
•
Social Impact
•
Economic Value

This broader perspective ensures that sustainability is incorporated across the AI lifecycle.

40. Future Directions

Future research should investigate:

1. Standardised AI environmental reporting.
2. Carbon accounting for AI workloads.
3. Water-footprint measurement.
4. Sustainable AI hardware.
5. Low-power machine learning.
6. Carbon-aware AI scheduling.
7. Renewable-powered data centres.
8. Circular AI hardware systems.
9. Lifecycle assessment of generative AI.
10. Environmental impact of edge AI.
11. Sustainable foundation models.
12. AI-enabled climate solutions.

Future research should also examine whether improvements in AI efficiency actually reduce total environmental impact or instead encourage additional AI deployment.

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

41. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence offers enormous technological and economic opportunities, but its rapid expansion creates a growing environmental challenge.

The environmental footprint of AI extends across the complete technological lifecycle—from semiconductor manufacturing and data-centre construction to electricity consumption, cooling, model training, inference, and hardware disposal.

The challenge is therefore not to stop technological progress, but to make that progress more sustainable.

Sustainable AI requires a combination of:

Efficient Algorithms

•

Efficient Hardware

•

Renewable Energy

•

Water-Efficient Cooling

•

Carbon-Aware Computing

•

Responsible Hardware Lifecycle Management

•

Transparent Environmental Governance

At the same time, AI can become an important tool for sustainability by improving energy systems, climate modelling, transportation, agriculture, water management, and industrial resource efficiency.

The central principle is therefore:

AI should not only become more powerful; it should become more efficient, responsible, measurable, and environmentally sustainable.

The future of AI should consequently be evaluated through a broader performance equation:

Technological Performance + Environmental Efficiency + Social Value + Economic Value = Sustainable AI Innovation

References

1. Schwartz, R., Dodge, J., Smith, N. A., & Etzioni, O. (2020). Green AI. Communications of the ACM, 63(12), 54–63.
2. Strubell, E., Ganesh, A., & McCallum, A. (2020). Energy and policy considerations for deep learning in NLP. Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 3645–3650.

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

3. Patterson, D., Gonzalez, J., Le, Q., Liang, C., Munguia, L.-M., Rothchild, D., So, D., Texier, M., & Dean, J. (2021). Carbon emissions and large neural network training. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10350.
4. Henderson, P., Hu, J., Romoff, J., Brunskill, E., Jurafsky, D., & Pineau, J. (2020). Towards the systematic reporting of the energy and carbon footprints of machine learning. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 21(248), 1–43.
5. Kaack, L. H., Donti, P. L., Strubell, E., Kamiya, G., Creutzig, F., & Rolnick, D. (2022). Aligning artificial intelligence with climate change mitigation. Nature Climate Change, 12, 518–527.
6. Rolnick, D., Donti, P. L., Kaack, L. H., et al. (2022). Tackling climate change with machine learning. ACM Computing Surveys, 55(2), 1–96.
7. Schwartz, R., Dodge, J., Smith, N. A., & Etzioni, O. (2020). Green AI: Computational efficiency in machine learning research. Communications of the ACM, 63(12), 54–63.
8. Masanet, E., Shehabi, A., Lei, N., Smith, S., & Koomey, J. (2020). Recalibrating global data centre energy-use estimates. Science, 367(6481), 984–986.
9. Shehabi, A., Smith, S. J., Sartor, D., et al. (2016). United States Data Center Energy Usage Report. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
10. International Energy Agency. (2024). Electricity 2024: Analysis and Forecast to 2026. IEA.
11. International Energy Agency. (2025). Energy and AI. IEA.
12. United Nations Environment Programme. (2024). Artificial Intelligence and the Environment. UNEP.
13. European Commission. (2022). The Digital Product Passport and Sustainable Digital Transformation. European Commission.
14. International Telecommunication Union. (2020). ICTs and Climate Change. ITU.
15. United Nations University. (2024). The Global E-waste Monitor. United Nations University.