American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

Orbital Servicing and Space Infrastructure Innovation: New Opportunities for a Sustainable Space Economy

Edward B. Roberts

Professor Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Abstract

The rapid expansion of commercial and governmental space activities is creating an increasingly complex orbital environment in which satellites, launch vehicles, scientific platforms, and other space assets must operate for longer periods while facing constraints related to cost, reliability, debris, limited propulsion resources, and challenging maintenance conditions. Orbital servicing has emerged as an important technological and economic pathway for addressing these challenges. It encompasses a broad range of activities, including satellite inspection, life extension, refuelling, repair, relocation, component replacement, debris removal, and end-of-life management. This paper examines the evolution of orbital servicing and its potential contribution to space infrastructure innovation and a sustainable space economy. A conceptual qualitative methodology is employed to analyse technological developments, infrastructure requirements, economic opportunities, environmental implications, and governance challenges. The paper proposes an integrated orbital servicing ecosystem connecting servicing spacecraft, reusable platforms, refuelling infrastructure, robotic systems, space situational awareness, and orbital traffic management. The analysis suggests that orbital servicing could extend satellite lifetimes, reduce the need for premature replacement, enable flexible orbital architectures, support debris mitigation, and create new commercial markets. However, technical challenges involving autonomous rendezvous, docking, robotic manipulation, propulsion, spacecraft compatibility, and fault tolerance remain substantial. Regulatory and governance issues concerning ownership, liability, licensing, security, and responsible proximity operations are equally important. The study concludes that orbital servicing should be viewed not merely as a satellite-maintenance capability but as a foundational component of future space infrastructure. A mature servicing ecosystem could transform space from a predominantly launch-and-replace model towards a more circular, adaptive, and service-oriented economic model.

Keywords: Orbital Servicing, Space Infrastructure, Satellite Servicing, Space Economy, Space Sustainability, In-Orbit Manufacturing, Active Debris Removal, Space Robotics, Satellite Life Extension, Orbital Logistics.

1. Introduction

The global space sector is transitioning from a relatively small number of government-operated missions towards a highly interconnected ecosystem involving governments, commercial companies, research institutions, satellite operators, launch providers, and emerging space enterprises.

Satellites provide essential services such as:

- Communications.
- Navigation.
- Earth observation.
- Weather monitoring.
- Scientific research.
- National security.
- Disaster management.
- Financial and telecommunications infrastructure.

As dependence on space-based infrastructure increases, the sustainability of the orbital environment becomes increasingly important.

Historically, many spacecraft have been designed around a relatively simple lifecycle:

Manufacture → Launch → Operation → End of Life

Once a satellite reaches the end of its operational life, it is often replaced by another spacecraft.

Orbital servicing introduces a different model:

Manufacture → Launch → Operation → Service → Upgrade/Refuel/Relocate → Extended Operation → Responsible Disposal

This represents an important shift from a replacement-oriented space economy towards a service-oriented space economy.

2. Concept of Orbital Servicing

Orbital servicing refers to activities performed on or around space assets after their initial deployment.

These activities can include:

- Inspection.
- Repair.
- Refuelling.
- Life extension.
- Relocation.
- Assembly.
- Component replacement.
- De-orbiting.
- Debris removal.

- In-orbit manufacturing.

Servicing spacecraft may operate autonomously, semi-autonomously, or under ground-based supervision.

3. Evolution of Space Infrastructure

Traditional space infrastructure has generally been designed as independent spacecraft.
A future infrastructure model could consist of interconnected assets.

Traditional Model
Launch Vehicle → Satellite → Ground Station
Emerging Model
Launch → Satellite → Servicing Vehicle → Refuelling Platform → Orbital Logistics → Ground Infrastructure
This interconnected architecture creates new opportunities for flexibility and resilience.

4. Research Objectives

This paper aims to:

1. Examine the concept of orbital servicing.
2. Analyse emerging servicing technologies.
3. Examine satellite life-extension opportunities.
4. Investigate orbital debris-removal applications.
5. Analyse the economic potential of orbital servicing.
6. Examine infrastructure requirements.
7. Identify regulatory and governance challenges.
8. Develop a conceptual sustainable space infrastructure framework.
9. Discuss future opportunities for the space economy.

5. Research Methodology

A conceptual qualitative methodology is adopted.
The analysis draws upon research concerning:

- Satellite servicing.
- Space robotics.
- Orbital debris.
- Space sustainability.
- In-orbit manufacturing.
- Autonomous rendezvous and docking.
- Space logistics.
- Space infrastructure.

The study evaluates orbital servicing across six dimensions:

Dimension	Focus
Technology	Servicing capabilities
Infrastructure	Orbital support systems
Economics	New commercial markets
Sustainability	Resource and debris management
Governance	Regulation and liability
Innovation	New space architectures

6. Why Orbital Servicing Matters

Spacecraft are expensive to design, manufacture, launch, and operate.
A malfunctioning component can potentially shorten the useful life of an otherwise functional satellite.
Orbital servicing creates an alternative.
Instead of replacing the entire satellite, a servicing spacecraft could potentially:

- Repair the satellite.
- Refuel it.
- Move it to another orbit.
- Upgrade selected components.
- Stabilise it.
- Extend its mission.

This can increase the economic value obtained from existing infrastructure.

7. Satellite Life Extension

One of the most commercially attractive applications is satellite life extension.
A satellite may remain operationally useful even after its original mission duration if its primary systems continue functioning but propulsion resources become limited.
A servicing spacecraft could potentially provide additional propulsion or other support.
The conceptual process is:
Existing Satellite → Servicing Vehicle → Rendezvous → Docking → Propulsion Support → Extended Mission
Life extension can potentially reduce the frequency of replacement launches.

8. In-Orbit Refuelling

Refuelling infrastructure could represent a major transformation in space logistics.
Current satellites often carry a finite quantity of propellant.
Once the propellant is depleted, manoeuvrability may become limited.

A future orbital infrastructure could include:

Propellant Depot → Servicing Vehicle → Satellite
This could enable satellites to remain operational for longer periods.

9. Satellite Relocation
Orbital servicing can also enable satellite relocation.
A servicing spacecraft may potentially move a satellite:
- To another operational orbit.
- Away from congested regions.
- Towards a disposal orbit.
- Into a different mission configuration.

This creates greater flexibility in orbital asset management.

10. Robotic Servicing
Robotic systems are central to many servicing concepts.
A servicing spacecraft may need to:
- Approach another spacecraft.
- Identify suitable attachment points.
- Capture the target.
- Manipulate components.
- Connect interfaces.
- Perform mechanical operations.

Robotic manipulation in microgravity presents significant technical challenges because contact forces can affect both spacecraft.

11. Rendezvous and Proximity Operations
Before servicing can occur, the servicing spacecraft must safely approach its target.
This requires:
- Navigation.
- Relative-position estimation.
- Velocity matching.
- Collision avoidance.
- Autonomous guidance.
- Precise manoeuvring.

A simplified process is:
Detection → Approach → Relative Navigation → Station Keeping → Capture → Servicing

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

12. Autonomous Rendezvous and Docking

Autonomous rendezvous and docking technologies are particularly important for future servicing missions.

Systems must function despite:

- Communication delays.
- Limited visual information.
- Lighting variations.
- Unknown target conditions.
- Sensor uncertainty.

AI and computer vision can potentially improve autonomous perception and navigation.

13. Satellite Inspection

Before servicing, a spacecraft may need detailed inspection.

Inspection systems can examine:

- Solar panels.
- Antennas.
- Thermal surfaces.
- Structural components.
- Propulsion systems.
- Potential damage.

Inspection missions can therefore become a standalone commercial service.

14. Active Debris Removal

Orbital debris represents a major long-term challenge.

Debris can include:

- Defunct satellites.
- Rocket bodies.
- Fragmentation debris.
- Mission-related objects.

Large debris objects can collide with operational spacecraft and generate additional fragments.

Servicing technologies could potentially be adapted for:

- Debris capture.
- Controlled de-orbiting.
- Orbital relocation.
- Disposal support.

15. From Debris Removal to Orbital Environmental Management

The future objective may extend beyond removing individual debris objects.

Space infrastructure could evolve towards continuous orbital environmental management.

This could include:

Tracking → Risk Assessment → Inspection → Servicing → Relocation → Disposal
Such a system would treat orbital space as an environment requiring active stewardship.

16. In-Orbit Manufacturing
Orbital servicing can connect with in-orbit manufacturing.
Rather than launching every structure fully assembled from Earth, some components could potentially be manufactured or assembled in space.
Potential applications include:
- Large antennas.
- Solar-power structures.
- Space telescopes.
- Structural components.
- Replacement parts.

This could reduce some constraints associated with launch volume and packaging.

17. In-Orbit Assembly
Large space structures can be difficult to launch as a single piece.
Modular assembly could provide an alternative.
For example:

Launch Modules → Orbital Assembly → Integrated Infrastructure
Robotic servicing systems could assist in assembling these structures.

18. Space Logistics
As orbital activity increases, logistics will become increasingly important.
A mature orbital logistics system could involve:
- Launch vehicles.
- Servicing spacecraft.
- Refuelling depots.
- Cargo platforms.
- Assembly facilities.
- Disposal systems.

This would create an emerging concept of orbital supply chains.

19. Space Infrastructure as a Service
A significant economic transformation could involve shifting from selling spacecraft to providing space-based services.
Examples include:

- Propulsion as a service.
- Inspection as a service.
- Refuelling as a service.
- Relocation as a service.
- Debris removal as a service.
- Assembly as a service.

This could introduce recurring revenue models into space infrastructure.

20. Economic Opportunities

Orbital servicing could create markets in:

Satellite Services

- Life extension.
- Repair.
- Inspection.

Infrastructure

- Refuelling.
- Orbital depots.
- Assembly platforms.

Environmental Services

- Debris removal.
- End-of-life disposal.

Data Services

- Orbital monitoring.
- Collision-risk assessment.
- Space situational awareness.

21. Space Situational Awareness

Servicing activities require accurate information about the orbital environment.
Space situational awareness can provide:

- Object tracking.
- Orbital predictions.
- Collision warnings.
- Conjunction analysis.
- Space traffic information.

The combination of servicing and situational awareness creates a foundation for safer orbital operations.

22. Space Traffic Management

As orbital populations grow, operators will increasingly need coordination mechanisms.
Space traffic management may involve:

- Registration.
- Tracking.
- Conjunction warnings.
- Manoeuvre coordination.
- Operational standards.

Servicing spacecraft will need to operate within this increasingly complex environment.

23. Space Sustainability

Orbital servicing can contribute to sustainability through:

- Extending satellite lifetimes.
- Reducing unnecessary replacement.
- Removing large debris.
- Supporting responsible disposal.
- Enabling repair instead of replacement.

This suggests a transition towards a more circular model of space infrastructure.

24. Circular Space Economy

The conventional economic model can be represented as:

Build → Launch → Use → Abandon

A circular space model could become:
Build → Launch → Use → Maintain → Refuel → Upgrade → Reuse → Recycle/Dispose
The second model potentially creates greater resource efficiency.

25. Environmental Considerations

Although space activities occur beyond Earth's atmosphere, their environmental footprint begins on Earth.
Manufacturing and launching spacecraft require:

- Energy.
- Materials.
- Industrial infrastructure.
- Transportation.
- Propellant.

Extending spacecraft lifetimes could potentially reduce the number of replacement missions required.
However, servicing missions themselves consume resources and launch capacity, meaning their environmental benefits must be evaluated across the complete lifecycle.

26. Economic Sustainability

A servicing ecosystem must be economically viable.

Key variables include:

- Servicing cost.
- Satellite replacement cost.
- Launch cost.
- Mission duration.
- Insurance.
- Risk.
- Customer demand.

A simplified economic relationship is:

Servicing Value = Extended Asset Value − Servicing Cost − Additional Risk

If the value of extended operation substantially exceeds servicing costs, servicing becomes economically attractive.

27. Business Models

Potential business models include:

Subscription

Satellite operators pay recurring servicing fees.

Pay-per-Service

Customers purchase individual interventions.

Infrastructure Leasing

Companies lease orbital infrastructure.

Capacity-as-a-Service

Customers purchase access to shared servicing capabilities.

Long-Term Contracts

Operators establish multi-year servicing agreements.

28. Challenges in Space Robotics

Robotic servicing remains technically difficult because of:

- Microgravity.
- Limited visibility.
- Thermal extremes.
- Radiation.

- Communication constraints.
- Complex spacecraft geometries.
- Unknown target conditions.

Robots must operate with exceptional reliability.

29. Standardisation

One major challenge is that existing satellites were not necessarily designed for servicing.
Future spacecraft could include standardised:

- Docking interfaces.
- Refuelling ports.
- Robotic grasping points.
- Data interfaces.
- Maintenance access points.

This concept is often associated with design-for-servicing.

30. Servicing-Friendly Satellite Architecture

A future satellite could be designed with:

- Standardised mechanical interfaces.
- Accessible components.
- Modular subsystems.
- Replaceable units.
- Refuelling connections.
- Digital identification systems.

This would reduce the complexity of future servicing operations.

31. Security Risks

Servicing spacecraft may operate physically close to other satellites.
This creates potential security concerns.
A servicing spacecraft could theoretically be misused for:

- Unauthorised inspection.
- Interference.
- Physical manipulation.
- Cyber intrusion.

Therefore, authentication and operational transparency are essential.

32. Legal and Regulatory Issues

Orbital servicing creates complex legal questions.
These include:

- Who owns the target spacecraft?
- Who authorises servicing?

- Who is liable for damage?
- What constitutes authorised proximity?
- How should abandoned spacecraft be treated?
- Who is responsible for debris removal?

International coordination will be increasingly important.

33. Liability

A servicing spacecraft could accidentally damage another satellite.

Possible causes include:

- Navigation failure.
- Docking failure.
- Robotic malfunction.
- Software error.
- Communication failure.

Clear liability mechanisms will therefore be necessary for a mature servicing market.

34. Insurance

Space insurance will need to adapt to servicing operations.

Risk models may need to consider:

- Servicing complexity.
- Target condition.
- Autonomous operations.
- Proximity operations.
- Robotic failure.
- Collision probability.

This could create new insurance products specifically designed for orbital servicing.

35. Proposed Sustainable Orbital Infrastructure Framework

This paper proposes an eight-component framework:

1. Orbital Assets

Satellites and platforms requiring services.

2. Servicing Vehicles

Vehicles capable of inspection, movement, repair, and refuelling.

3. Orbital Depots

Infrastructure for propellant, components, and logistics.

4. Robotic Systems
Mechanisms for manipulation and assembly.

5. Space Situational Awareness
Tracking and environmental monitoring.

6. Traffic Management
Coordination of orbital activities.

7. Ground Infrastructure
Mission planning and control.

8. Governance
Licensing, safety, liability, and sustainability standards.
The integrated system can be represented as:

Orbital Assets ↔ Servicing Vehicles ↔ Depots ↔ Robotics
with:

SSA + Traffic Management + Ground Control + Governance
supporting the entire ecosystem.

36. Comparative Analysis

Feature	Traditional Space Model	Servicing-Based Model
Satellite lifecycle	Fixed	Extendable
Maintenance	Mostly impossible	Possible
Refuelling	Limited	Potentially available
Replacement	Frequent	Potentially reduced
Infrastructure	Mission-specific	Shared/service-based
Debris management	End-of-life focused	Active management
Business model	Asset sale	Service ecosystem
Architecture	Independent	Interconnected
Flexibility	Limited	Higher
Circularity	Low	Potentially higher

37. Questionnaire

Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree

No.	Statement
1	Orbital servicing can extend the useful life of satellites.
2	Satellite refuelling can improve space infrastructure efficiency.
3	Robotic servicing will become increasingly important in future space missions.
4	Orbital servicing can contribute to space-debris mitigation.
5	Standardised servicing interfaces should be incorporated into future satellites.
6	In-orbit manufacturing can support sustainable space infrastructure.
7	Orbital servicing can create new commercial opportunities.
8	Space traffic management is essential for safe servicing operations.
9	International regulation is necessary for orbital servicing.
10	Servicing-based infrastructure can contribute to a more circular space economy.

38. Future Directions

38.1 Autonomous Servicing

Future servicing spacecraft may perform complex missions with minimal ground intervention.

38.2 AI-Based Space Robotics

AI could improve:

- Object recognition.
- Relative navigation.
- Manipulation.
- Fault detection.
- Mission planning.

38.3 Orbital Depots

Propellant and spare-component depots could become important infrastructure nodes.

38.4 Modular Satellites

Satellites could increasingly be designed as modular platforms.

38.5 In-Orbit Manufacturing

Manufacturing and assembly may enable larger and more complex structures.

38.6 Orbital Logistics Networks

A network of servicing vehicles and infrastructure could eventually create a genuine orbital logistics industry.

39. Recommendations

To develop a sustainable orbital servicing ecosystem, stakeholders should:

1. Establish common servicing standards.
2. Design future spacecraft for maintenance.
3. Develop reliable autonomous rendezvous technologies.
4. Expand space situational awareness.
5. Establish transparent servicing protocols.
6. Develop international liability frameworks.
7. Encourage responsible debris-removal markets.
8. Invest in space robotics.
9. Develop orbital infrastructure gradually.
10. Integrate sustainability considerations into spacecraft design.
11. Develop appropriate insurance mechanisms.
12. Encourage public-private partnerships.

40. Discussion

Orbital servicing has the potential to fundamentally change how space infrastructure is designed and operated.

The traditional space sector has often treated spacecraft as relatively independent assets with predefined operational lifetimes.

A servicing-based ecosystem introduces a different philosophy.

Satellites become maintainable assets rather than disposable infrastructure.

This distinction could have major economic implications.

A communication satellite that can be refuelled or upgraded may generate value for considerably longer than originally planned.

Similarly, a spacecraft approaching the end of its useful life may not necessarily become debris. It could potentially be relocated, serviced, repurposed, or safely disposed of.

This creates the possibility of a more circular space economy.

However, orbital servicing should not be viewed as a universal solution to space sustainability.

Servicing spacecraft themselves require launches, fuel, manufacturing resources, and operational infrastructure.

Consequently, the sustainability benefits must be evaluated using full lifecycle analysis.

Another important issue is standardisation.

If every satellite requires a completely different servicing approach, servicing operations may remain expensive and technically complex.

Future spacecraft should therefore increasingly adopt design-for-servicing principles.

41. Conclusion

Orbital servicing represents an emerging foundation for the next generation of space infrastructure.

Its applications extend beyond satellite repair to include life extension, refuelling, inspection, relocation, debris removal, in-orbit assembly, and potentially manufacturing.

These capabilities can support a transition from a launch-and-replace model towards a more flexible and service-oriented space economy.

The economic opportunity is equally significant.

New markets could emerge around:

- Orbital logistics.
- Satellite maintenance.
- Refuelling.
- Inspection.
- Debris removal.
- Robotic operations.
- In-orbit manufacturing.
- Space infrastructure management.

Nevertheless, technological, economic, regulatory, and security challenges remain.

The long-term success of orbital servicing will depend on the development of standardised interfaces, reliable robotics, autonomous navigation, effective space traffic management, appropriate liability mechanisms, and international cooperation.

Ultimately, the future space economy may be characterised not simply by more satellites, but by more maintainable, reusable, serviceable, and interconnected space infrastructure.

The transition can therefore be summarised as:

Launch-and-Replace → Maintain-and-Extend → Service-and-Optimise → Circular Space Infrastructure

References

1. United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs. (2019). Guidelines for the Long-Term Sustainability of Outer Space Activities. United Nations.
2. Inter-Agency Space Debris Coordination Committee. (2020). IADC Space Debris Mitigation Guidelines. IADC.
3. Kessler, D. J., & Cour-Palais, B. G. (1978). Collision frequency of artificial satellites: The creation of a debris belt. Journal of Geophysical Research, 83(A6), 2637–2646.
4. Kessler, D. J., Johnson, N. L., Liou, J.-C., & Matney, M. (2010). The Kessler Syndrome: Implications to future human exploration. Advances in the Astronautical Sciences, 137, 47–62.

5. Liou, J.-C., & Johnson, N. L. (2006). Risks in space from orbiting debris. Science, 311(5759), 340–341.
6. Schildknecht, T. (2014). Optical surveys for space debris. The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review, 22, 1–57.
7. Flores-Abad, A., Ma, O., Pham, K., & Ulrich, S. (2014). A review of space robotics technologies for on-orbit servicing. Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 66, 1–21.
8. Ellery, A. (2016). Planetary Rovers: Robotic Exploration of the Solar System. Springer.
9. Dubanchet, V., & Gasparini, S. (2022). Space robotics and on-orbit servicing: Emerging technologies and applications. Acta Astronautica.
10. Nishida, S., Kawamoto, S., Okawa, Y., Terui, F., & Kitamura, S. (2004). Space debris removal system using a small satellite. Acta Astronautica, 55(11), 739–747.
11. Shan, M., Guo, J., & Gill, E. (2016). Review and comparison of active space debris capturing and removal methods. Progress in Aerospace Sciences, 80, 18–32.
12. Bonnal, C., Ruault, J.-M., & Desjean, M.-C. (2013). Active debris removal: Recent progress and current trends. Acta Astronautica, 85, 51–60.
13. Pelton, J. N. (2013). Space Debris and Other Threats from Outer Space. Springer.
14. Wertz, J. R., Everett, D. F., & Puschell, J. J. (Eds.). (2011). Space Mission Engineering: The New SMAD. Microcosm Press.
15. Fortescue, P., Stark, J., & Swinerd, G. (Eds.). (2011). Spacecraft Systems Engineering (4th ed.). Wiley.