American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

AI-Supported Environmental Justice: Identifying Unequal Exposure to Climate and Pollution Risks

Darrell Schulze

Professor Purdue University

Abstract

Environmental risks are rarely distributed equally across populations. Differences in income, geography, infrastructure, land use, housing quality and access to environmental resources can result in certain communities experiencing disproportionately high exposure to air pollution, extreme heat, flooding, hazardous industrial activities and other climate-related risks. Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI), remote sensing, geospatial analytics and environmental data integration provide new opportunities to identify and quantify these inequalities. This paper examines the role of AI-supported environmental justice approaches in detecting unequal exposure to climate and pollution risks. A conceptual framework is developed that integrates satellite observations, environmental sensors, meteorological information, demographic datasets, land-use records and socioeconomic indicators with machine-learning and spatial-analysis techniques. The framework enables the identification of environmental-risk hotspots, exposure disparities and potentially underserved communities. The paper further explores how predictive modelling can support climate-risk forecasting, pollution monitoring and evidence-based environmental policy. However, AI-based environmental justice systems also face challenges related to data gaps, spatial bias, algorithmic discrimination, privacy, interpretability and unequal access to digital technologies. Particular attention is given to the importance of community participation and transparent governance, since environmental justice cannot be determined exclusively through computational models. The paper argues that AI should function as an evidence-generation and decision-support mechanism that strengthens, rather than replaces, community knowledge and institutional accountability. A human-centred framework is proposed for integrating AI-driven risk mapping, vulnerability assessment, participatory validation and equitable policy intervention. The study concludes that responsible AI can contribute substantially to identifying environmental inequalities when technological capabilities are combined with high-quality data, social context, community engagement and justice-oriented governance.

Keywords: Environmental Justice, Artificial Intelligence, Climate Risk, Pollution Exposure, Environmental Inequality, Machine Learning, Remote Sensing, Geospatial Analytics, Climate Vulnerability, Sustainable Development.

1. Introduction

Environmental hazards affect populations differently.

Two communities may experience the same regional climate conditions but face very different levels of risk because of differences in:

- Housing quality.
- Income.
- Infrastructure.
- Industrial activity.
- Access to healthcare.
- Green space.
- Geographic location.

Environmental justice focuses on understanding and addressing these unequal distributions of environmental benefits and burdens.

The growing availability of environmental and socioeconomic data creates new opportunities for identifying these inequalities.

AI can integrate multiple datasets to identify patterns that may remain difficult to detect through conventional analysis.

A conceptual relationship can be represented as:

Environmental Hazard + Exposure + Vulnerability = Environmental Risk

AI can support analysis across all three dimensions.

2. Environmental Justice

Environmental justice broadly concerns the fair distribution of environmental risks, benefits and decision-making opportunities.

It encompasses:

- Exposure equality.
- Procedural fairness.
- Access to environmental resources.
- Community participation.
- Recognition of historically disadvantaged populations.

Therefore, environmental justice is both a technical and social challenge.

3. Unequal Environmental Exposure

Environmental exposure can vary according to:

- Geographic location.

- Industrial density.
- Traffic intensity.
- Urban development.
- Housing conditions.
- Local climate.

Communities located near pollution sources may face substantially different environmental conditions from communities located farther away.

4. Climate Risk and Social Vulnerability

Climate risk is influenced not only by physical hazards but also by social vulnerability.
For example, extreme heat can create greater risks for communities with:

- Limited cooling access.
- Poor-quality housing.
- High population density.
- Limited healthcare access.

Thus:

Climate Hazard ≠ Climate Impact

Social conditions determine how strongly hazards affect populations.

5. AI for Environmental Justice

AI can analyse large and heterogeneous datasets.
Potential inputs include:

- Satellite imagery.
- Air-quality sensors.
- Weather observations.
- Traffic data.
- Industrial activity.
- Land-use information.
- Population characteristics.

Machine-learning systems can identify relationships between these variables and environmental exposure.

6. Remote Sensing

Satellite imagery provides spatially extensive environmental information.
AI can analyse imagery to detect:

- Urban heat patterns.
- Vegetation loss.
- Land-use change.
- Water stress.

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)
E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

- Flooding.
- Industrial development.

This can help identify environmental-risk hotspots.

7. Air Pollution Monitoring

Air-quality monitoring networks provide information about pollutants such as:

- Particulate matter.
- Nitrogen oxides.
- Ozone.
- Sulphur compounds.

AI can integrate monitoring data with meteorological and geographic information to estimate pollution patterns between monitoring stations.

8. Pollution Exposure Mapping

Traditional monitoring networks may have limited spatial coverage.

AI-supported spatial modelling can potentially estimate pollution levels across areas without direct monitoring stations.

A conceptual process is:

Sensor Data

↓

Meteorological Data

↓

Traffic + Land Use

↓

Machine-Learning Model

↓

Spatial Pollution Map

↓

Exposure Assessment

9. Industrial Pollution

Industrial facilities can contribute to environmental exposure through:

- Air emissions.
- Water contamination.
- Waste.
- Noise.
- Industrial traffic.

AI can integrate facility locations with environmental measurements to identify areas requiring further investigation.

10. Traffic-Related Pollution

Road traffic can produce significant local pollution.

AI can combine:

- Traffic intensity.
- Road networks.
- Vehicle characteristics.
- Weather.
- Land use.

to estimate spatial variations in pollution exposure.

11. Urban Heat Inequality

Urban heat is often unevenly distributed.

Neighbourhoods with limited vegetation and high concentrations of:

- Concrete.
- Asphalt.
- Buildings.

can experience elevated temperatures.

AI-based satellite analysis can identify urban heat islands and compare them with demographic and socioeconomic information.

12. Green-Space Inequality

Urban vegetation provides environmental benefits such as:

- Cooling.
- Air-quality improvement.
- Recreation.
- Stormwater management.

AI can analyse satellite imagery to quantify green-space availability across neighbourhoods.

13. Flood Risk

Flooding depends on:

- Rainfall.
- Drainage.
- Topography.
- Land cover.
- River systems.
- Urban development.

AI models can combine these variables to estimate flood-prone areas.

14. Climate Vulnerability

Climate vulnerability can be conceptualised as a combination of:

Exposure + Sensitivity − Adaptive Capacity

Communities with limited resources may have lower adaptive capacity even when exposure levels are similar.

15. Multidimensional Environmental Data

A comprehensive environmental justice model may combine:

Environmental Data

- Air quality.
- Temperature.
- Flooding.
- Water quality.

Geographic Data

- Land use.
- Industrial locations.
- Roads.
- Green spaces.

Social Data

- Population.
- Income.
- Housing.
- Access to services.

This enables multidimensional risk analysis.

16. Geospatial Artificial Intelligence

Geospatial AI combines:

- Geographic information systems.
- Remote sensing.
- Machine learning.
- Spatial statistics.

It can identify geographic patterns in environmental hazards.

17. Environmental Risk Hotspots

AI can classify areas according to environmental risk.
For example:

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)
E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

Risk Level	Characteristics
Low	Limited pollution and climate exposure
Moderate	Some environmental hazards
High	Multiple exposure sources
Critical	High exposure combined with high vulnerability

Such classifications should be validated against real environmental measurements.

18. Exposure versus Vulnerability

Environmental justice analysis should distinguish between:

Exposure

And

Vulnerability

A community may have high pollution exposure but relatively high adaptive capacity.

Another community may experience moderate exposure but have limited resources to respond.

Justice-oriented analysis should consider both.

19. Cumulative Environmental Burden

Communities may experience multiple environmental pressures simultaneously.

For example:

Air Pollution + Heat + Flood Risk + Limited Green Space

A cumulative-risk framework can identify areas where several hazards overlap.

20. Cumulative Impact Mapping

AI can integrate multiple risk layers.

Conceptually:

Hazard 1

•

Hazard 2

•

Hazard 3

•

Social Vulnerability

↓

Cumulative Environmental Risk

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)
E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

This provides a broader perspective than analysing individual hazards independently.

21. Environmental Inequality Indicators
Potential indicators include:

- Pollution concentration.
- Exposure duration.
- Population density.
- Distance from pollution sources.
- Heat exposure.
- Green-space access.
- Flood probability.
- Infrastructure quality.

22. Environmental Justice Index
A conceptual environmental justice index could combine:

Hazard Exposure + Social Vulnerability + Adaptive Capacity + Historical Burden
The index should be transparent and empirically validated rather than treated as an objective measure simply because it is algorithmically generated.

23. Historical Environmental Burden
Current environmental conditions may reflect decades of:

- Industrial development.
- Land-use decisions.
- Infrastructure investment.
- Urban planning.

AI systems should therefore consider temporal data where available.

24. Temporal Environmental Analytics
Environmental conditions change over time.
AI can analyse:

- Seasonal pollution.
- Long-term temperature trends.
- Land-use changes.
- Industrial expansion.
- Repeated flooding.

This helps identify persistent rather than temporary inequalities.

25. Predictive Climate-Risk Analytics
AI can support forecasting of:

- Extreme heat.
- Flooding.
- Air pollution episodes.
- Drought conditions.

Forecasts can support early-warning systems and preparedness.

26. Extreme Heat Early Warning

An AI system can combine:

Weather Forecast

•

Urban Characteristics

•

Population Vulnerability

↓

Heat-Risk Forecast

This can help authorities target cooling centres, public alerts and emergency resources.

27. Pollution Forecasting

Machine-learning models can forecast pollution based on:

- Weather.
- Traffic.
- Industrial emissions.
- Historical pollution patterns.

Forecasts can help authorities anticipate high-exposure periods.

28. Water Pollution

AI can support water-quality monitoring using:

- Sensor networks.
- Satellite observations.
- Hydrological data.
- Industrial activity data.

Potential applications include identifying contamination patterns and predicting water-quality deterioration.

29. Environmental Sensor Networks

Low-cost sensors can expand environmental monitoring.

AI can help:

- Detect anomalies.
- Calibrate sensors.
- Identify missing measurements.

- Integrate sensor networks.

However, low-cost sensors may have varying accuracy and require validation.

30. Data Gaps

Environmental data are often unevenly distributed.

Some communities may have:

- More monitoring stations.
- Better digital infrastructure.
- More detailed datasets.

Others may be poorly monitored.

This creates a major environmental justice challenge.

31. The Data Desert Problem

Areas with limited environmental monitoring can appear to have low environmental risk simply because less data are available.

Therefore:

No Data ≠ No Pollution

AI systems must explicitly account for uncertainty and data gaps.

32. Algorithmic Bias

AI models can inherit biases from their training data.

If monitoring stations are concentrated in wealthier areas, models may perform poorly in underserved communities.

This can reinforce existing inequalities.

33. Spatial Bias

Spatial models may be biased when geographic coverage is uneven.

Model validation should therefore evaluate performance across:

- Urban areas.
- Rural areas.
- High-density communities.
- Low-density communities.

34. Explainable Environmental AI

Environmental decision-makers need to understand why an AI model identifies a particular area as high risk.

Explainability can show the relative contribution of:

- Pollution.
- Heat.

- Land use.
- Traffic.
- Social vulnerability.

This improves transparency.

35. Uncertainty Quantification

Environmental predictions should communicate uncertainty.
For example:

Estimated pollution: High
Confidence: Moderate
This is more informative than presenting predictions as exact measurements.

36. Community Knowledge

Local communities possess knowledge that may not appear in datasets.
Residents may know:

- Where flooding repeatedly occurs.
- Where pollution events occur.
- Which facilities create nuisance.
- How environmental conditions change seasonally.

Community knowledge can complement computational models.

37. Participatory Environmental Mapping

Residents can contribute:

- Observations.
- Photographs.
- Pollution reports.
- Flood reports.
- Local environmental concerns.

AI can integrate these observations with formal datasets.

38. Citizen Science

Citizen-generated data can expand environmental monitoring.
However, quality control is necessary to address:

- Measurement differences.
- Reporting bias.
- Geographic concentration.
- Verification challenges.

39. Environmental Justice and Public Policy

AI-supported environmental analysis can inform:

- Pollution regulation.
- Infrastructure investment.
- Urban planning.
- Climate adaptation.
- Emergency management.

The objective should be equitable resource allocation rather than simply improved prediction.

40. Equitable Resource Allocation

Suppose two communities have similar climate hazards but different vulnerabilities.

A justice-oriented policy may allocate more resources to the community with:

- Higher vulnerability.
- Lower adaptive capacity.
- Greater cumulative environmental burden.

41. Climate Adaptation

AI can help prioritise adaptation measures such as:

- Urban tree planting.
- Cooling centres.
- Flood protection.
- Drainage improvements.
- Pollution controls.

42. Environmental Remediation

AI-supported risk mapping can identify areas where remediation may have the greatest social and environmental benefit.

Potential interventions include:

- Soil remediation.
- Industrial emission reduction.
- Water treatment.
- Green infrastructure.

43. Environmental Monitoring and Regulation

AI can assist regulatory agencies in identifying patterns that warrant investigation.

However, algorithmic outputs should not automatically substitute for regulatory evidence and due process.

44. Environmental Justice Dashboard

A public-facing dashboard could display:

- Pollution levels.
- Heat risk.
- Flood risk.
- Green-space access.
- Vulnerability indicators.
- Data confidence.

Transparency can help communities participate in environmental decision-making.

45. Data Privacy

Environmental justice analysis sometimes requires demographic and socioeconomic information.

Data systems should protect:

- Individual identity.
- Household information.
- Sensitive socioeconomic information.

Analysis should generally prioritise appropriately aggregated data.

46. Digital Inequality

Communities with limited digital access may have less ability to participate in AI-supported environmental governance.

Therefore, digital inclusion should be considered part of environmental justice.

47. Community-Centred AI

A community-centred system should involve affected populations in:

1. Defining environmental problems.
2. Selecting relevant indicators.
3. Validating model outputs.
4. Designing interventions.
5. Evaluating outcomes.

48. Proposed AI-Supported Environmental Justice Framework

The proposed framework contains nine stages:

Stage 1 — Environmental Data Collection

Collect satellite, sensor, meteorological and geographic data.

Stage 2 — Social Vulnerability Mapping

Integrate appropriate population and socioeconomic indicators.

Stage 3 — Data Quality Assessment
Identify missing data, uncertainty and spatial biases.

Stage 4 — AI-Based Risk Modelling
Estimate environmental exposure and climate hazards.

Stage 5 — Cumulative Risk Analysis
Identify overlapping environmental burdens.

Stage 6 — Community Validation
Compare computational findings with local knowledge.

Stage 7 — Environmental Justice Prioritisation
Identify communities requiring attention.

Stage 8 — Policy Intervention
Design targeted mitigation and adaptation measures.

Stage 9 — Continuous Monitoring
Evaluate whether environmental inequalities are actually declining.

49. Conceptual Architecture

Satellite + Sensor Data
•
Climate Data
•
Land-Use Data
•
Socioeconomic Data
↓
Data Governance
↓
Geospatial AI
↓
Exposure Modelling
↓
Vulnerability Assessment
↓
Cumulative Risk Mapping
↓

Community Validation
↓
Justice-Oriented Policy
↓
Monitoring and Evaluation

50. Research Questions

1. How can AI improve the identification of unequal environmental exposure?
2. Which multimodal datasets provide the strongest evidence of environmental inequality?
3. How can satellite data and sensor networks improve pollution exposure mapping?
4. How accurately can AI identify climate-risk hotspots?
5. How should environmental vulnerability be incorporated into AI-based risk assessment?
6. What methods can reduce spatial and socioeconomic bias in environmental AI?
7. How can community-generated data improve environmental justice analysis?
8. How can AI support equitable allocation of climate adaptation resources?
9. What governance mechanisms are necessary for transparent environmental AI?
10. Can AI-supported environmental interventions measurably reduce environmental inequalities?

51. Future Research Directions

Future research should investigate:

- Foundation models for Earth observation.
- AI-based environmental digital twins.
- Real-time pollution prediction.
- Climate vulnerability forecasting.
- Community-driven environmental AI.
- Federated environmental data systems.
- Explainable geospatial AI.
- AI-supported climate adaptation planning.
- Cumulative environmental burden modelling.
- Environmental justice digital twins.
- Citizen-science data integration.
- AI-supported environmental policy evaluation.

52. Discussion

AI offers significant opportunities for environmental justice because environmental inequality is fundamentally a multidimensional problem.

Traditional environmental monitoring may examine individual pollutants or hazards separately.

AI can potentially integrate:

Pollution + Climate + Geography + Infrastructure + Population Vulnerability
to produce more comprehensive risk assessments.
However, computational sophistication does not automatically create justice.
An algorithm can identify that a community experiences elevated pollution, but it cannot independently determine:

- Why that inequality exists.
- Whether it is socially acceptable.
- Which intervention is ethically appropriate.
- How affected residents understand the problem.

These questions require institutional and community participation.

53. From Environmental Monitoring to Environmental Justice Intelligence

The development of environmental analytics can be understood as:
Monitoring
"What is happening?"
↓
Mapping
"Where is it happening?"
↓
Prediction
"What may happen next?"
↓
Vulnerability Analysis
"Who is most affected?"
↓
Justice-Oriented Intervention
"What should be done?"
The final stage is particularly important.
Environmental justice requires action, not merely improved visualisation.

54. AI as a Decision-Support Tool

AI should support:

- Scientists.
- Environmental agencies.
- Urban planners.
- Public-health authorities.
- Communities.

It should not become an opaque authority determining environmental priorities without accountability.

55. Equity-Oriented Environmental Governance

Effective governance should combine:

Scientific Evidence

•

AI Analytics

•

Community Knowledge

•

Institutional Accountability

This combination can create stronger environmental decision-making than any individual component.

56. Conclusion

AI-supported environmental justice represents an emerging interdisciplinary approach for identifying and addressing unequal exposure to climate and pollution risks. By combining remote sensing, environmental sensors, geospatial information, climate observations and socioeconomic indicators, AI can help identify environmental-risk hotspots and reveal patterns of exposure that may remain hidden within conventional datasets.

Machine-learning and geospatial AI can support pollution mapping, extreme-heat forecasting, flood-risk assessment, cumulative-burden analysis and environmental vulnerability modelling. These capabilities can strengthen evidence-based environmental planning and help policymakers prioritise communities that face disproportionate risks.

Nevertheless, AI systems must be designed with awareness of data limitations, spatial bias, uncertainty, privacy and algorithmic fairness. Areas with limited monitoring should not automatically be interpreted as low-risk areas. Similarly, demographic and socioeconomic data should be handled carefully to prevent further discrimination or surveillance.

The proposed framework integrates environmental data, social vulnerability, AI-based risk modelling, cumulative exposure analysis, community validation and justice-oriented intervention. This approach recognises that environmental justice cannot be achieved through algorithms alone.

Ultimately, AI can become a powerful instrument for environmental justice when computational intelligence is combined with community knowledge, scientific validation, transparent governance and equitable policy action. The goal should not simply be to develop more sophisticated environmental-risk maps, but to use those maps and predictive systems to reduce unequal exposure, strengthen community resilience and ensure that the benefits of environmental protection are distributed more fairly.

American Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Innovation (AJAMRI)

E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX ● Website: www.ajamri.com ● Email: editor@ajamri.com

References

1. Bullard, R. D. (2000). Dumping in Dixie: Race, Class, and Environmental Quality. Westview Press.
2. Mohai, P., Pellow, D., & Roberts, J. T. (2009). Environmental justice. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 34, 405–430.
3. Schlosberg, D. (2007). Defining Environmental Justice: Theories, Movements, and Nature. Oxford University Press.
4. Brulle, R. J., & Pellow, D. N. (2006). Environmental justice: Human health and environmental inequalities. Annual Review of Public Health, 27, 103–124.
5. Morello-Frosch, R., Pastor, M., Porras, C., & Sadd, J. (2002). Environmental justice and regional inequality in southern California. Environmental Health Perspectives, 110(Suppl. 2), 149–154.
6. Hsu, A., Sheriff, G., Chakraborty, T., & Manya, D. (2021). Disproportionate exposure to urban heat island intensity across major US cities. Nature Communications, 12, 2721.
7. Tessum, C. W., Hill, J. D., & Marshall, J. D. (2019). Injustice in the US distribution of air pollution emissions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116(13), 6001–6006.
8. Tessum, C. W., Paolella, D. A., Chambliss, S. E., Apte, J. S., Hill, J. D., & Marshall, J. D. (2021). PM2.5 polluters disproportionately and systemically affect people of colour in the United States. Science Advances, 7(18), eabf4491.
9. O'Neill, M. S., Jerrett, M., Kawachi, I., et al. (2003). Health, wealth, and air pollution: Advancing theory and methods. Environmental Health Perspectives, 111(16), 1861–1867.
10. Hajat, A., Hsia, C., & O'Neill, M. S. (2015). Socioeconomic disparities and air pollution exposure: A global review. Current Environmental Health Reports, 2, 440–450.
11. IPCC. (2022). Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge University Press.
12. IPCC. (2023). Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
13. United Nations Environment Programme. (2021). Making Peace with Nature. UNEP.
14. World Health Organization. (2021). WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines. World Health Organization.
15. European Environment Agency. (2022). Air Quality in Europe. European Environment Agency.
16. Gorelick, N., Hancher, M., Dixon, M., et al. (2017). Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial